

GEOG 476 (A01): Advanced Studies in Geomorphology: Geomorphic Applications of Ultra-high Resolution Remote Sensing Technology January 2017

<u>Instructor</u>: Michael Grilliot

Office & hours: DTB B117, W 12:00-1:30, or by appointment

<u>Lectures:</u> Thurs, 2:30pm – 5:20pm CLE A311 (subject to change, specified weeks only as below)

Prerequisites: GEOG376; permission of the department.

<u>Description</u>: This course offers an advanced, assignment-oriented experience for senior students interested in exploring state-of-the-art mapping technologies used for geomorphic change detection. This course assumes that students have prior knowledge and experience in geomorphology and a basic understanding of remote sensing. Students are expected to synthesize information from various sources, most importantly peer-reviewed journals. Students who successfully complete GEOG 476 will be able to apply knowledge about detecting change in geomorphic systems using ground-based lidar or terrestrial laser scanning (TLS), Unmanned aerial system (UAS)-based photogrammetry, and structure from motion (SfM).

Learning Outcomes: This is a capstone course providing a final integrated learning environment in the UVic geomorphology series before your employment or continued education in graduate studies. Therefore, the intent of this course is to; 1) Review TLS, Photogrammetry, and SfM-based data acquisition and processing and their importance and utility to Geomorphology, 2) Compare & critique the use of these technologies in geomorphic literature, 3) Interpret geomorphic landscapes using data collected by these technologies via a group research project. Having actively participated in this course, you should be in a position to confidently demonstrate an understanding of the TLS and UAS technologies in a geomorphic context including: acquisition methods, data products, data-post processing, and geomorphic interpretation.

The course involves <u>on-campus seminars and group research and technical assignments</u>. Seminars are designed to engage students by exploring TLS and UAS technology while the group assignments key theories and literature about how geomorphologists use lidar and photogrammetry in geomorphic research. The research project involves student groups in data analysis on a specific geomorphic process and/or a site of interest.

<u>CourseSpaces:</u> The course is supported by a CourseSpaces website (log in at http://coursespaces.uvic.ca/), which will be serving as the authorized record of the course. I will normally make class announcements on the site and post there any modifications to the syllabus. As a student in the course you are responsible for checking the website regularly—at least once a week—to keep yourself informed and up to date.

Recommended Readings: Students will be provided with state-of-the-science review chapters from a major reference work (Sherman et al. 2013 from the *Treatise on Geomorphology*), which provides an extensive review of all aspects of lidar, photogrammetry, and structure from motion in geomorphology. Other leading texts will also be put on library reserve and should be consulted for more foundational information. Additional reading & review of peer-reviewed research literature (e.g., journal articles) is also required for projects.

Course Experience Survey (CES): I greatly value your feedback on this course. Towards the end of term, as in all other courses at UVic, you will have the opportunity to complete an anonymous survey regarding your learning experience (CES). The survey is vital to providing feedback to me regarding the course and my teaching, as well as to help the department improve the overall program for students in the future. The survey is accessed via MyPage and can be done on your laptop, tablet, or mobile device. I will remind you and provide you with more detailed information nearer the time but please be thinking about this important activity during the course.

GEOG476 LECTURE SCHEDULE (SUBJECT TO CHANGE)

Date	Topic	Notes
R Jan. 5	Introduction / Lecture #1	General overview of course & deliverables. Form groups & introduce Assignments.
R Jan. 12	Lecture #1-2	
R Jan. 19	Lecture #3	Assignment #1 Due
R Jan. 26	Lecture #4	
R Feb. 2	UAS and TLS field acquisition	
R Feb. 9	Group Proposal Presentations	Written Proposal Due
R Feb. 16	NO CLASS - Holiday	
R Feb. 23	Mid-Term 1	Mandatory Group Meetings
R Mar. 2	NO CLASS - Work on Projects	
R Mar. 9	NO CLASS - Work on Projects	
R Mar. 16	Guest Lecture	
R Mar. 23	NO CLASS - Work on Projects	
R Mar. 30	Group Project Presentations	Final Report Due, Group Presentations

<u>Course evaluation scheme</u>: In-class participation 5%

Assignment #1 20% Mid-Term 25%

Final Project

Proposal 10% (written + presentation)

Project 40% (25% Paper + 15% presentation)

Details on requirements for each component are provided below.

*NOTE: students are required to complete <u>all</u> components of the course <u>and</u> obtain a passing grade on the assignments to obtain credit.

ASSIGNMENT

Introduction:

The assignment is designed with the following learning outcomes in mind:

Learning Outcome	Assignment # 1	Research Project
i) Evaluate the utility of commercially available photogrammetry, lidar, and visualization software to geomorphological research.	x	х
ii) Decide what data collection method is appropriate for your research goals.		Х
iii) Evaluate structure from motion technique positives and negatives for geomorphic research.	х	
iv) Review and critically evaluate peer reviewed literature on TLS, UAV, SfM.	x	Х
v) Compare TLS and UAV data; collection, processing, interpretation.		
vi) Assemble an annotated bibliography.		х

Groups:

- Work in groups of 2 to 3 (depends on class size).
- All members may not receive the same grade. Work together & be fair in sharing the load! You will use a rubric for evaluating each team member's contributions. I will consult these evaluations when determining your project grade.

<u>Assignment Components & Evaluation</u>: Additional information about each assignment will be provided during class.

<u>Assignment #1 (20%):</u> Structure-from-Motion (SfM) Paper review and Agisoft Photoscan© exercise. Work in groups of 2. <u>Prepare a written review</u> on a peer reviewed geomorphology journal article using structure from motion or photogrammetry. Using Agisoft Photoscan© you will collect, process, and analyze data in a report (1500 words max, 12 point font, minus references & figures in appendixes) due on 18 January 2016.

Your task is two-fold:

1) to provide a constructive reviewer's report, similar to what is done for scientific journals, that addresses all of the items on the provided reviewer's checklist as well as the key suggestions for effective peer---review by Waser et al. (1992). Cite specific instances (i.e., cited by line, page or

- figure/table number) for your constructive review. Highlight any particular strengths and shortcomings.
- 2) to collect SfM data on a geomorphic landform and process the images in Agisoft Photoscan©. In addition to the data deliverables, you will answer questions linking your knowledge of SfM and photogrammetry principles to the data collection and post-processing steps in Agisoft©.

Research Proposal (10%): Groups will prepare a written proposal (2-3p. + annotated bibliography) & give a 10 min. presentation on the context (lit review), objectives & proposed methods of their project. Your research proposal should include ALL of the following components. Be sure to cite research works as needed following the Geomorphology Journal citation formatting style.

- 1. Title
- 2. Research Context
- 3. Purpose & Objectives
- 4. Annotated Bibliography

<u>Evaluation</u>: The research proposal and related presentation are worth 10% of your grade and will be evaluated based on the following criteria.

- > Clarity & effectiveness of the title.
- Research context (i.e., how is the study situated in the broader knowledge/research literature).
- Conciseness & focus of the purpose, objectives.
- Clarity, rationale & feasibility of methods.
- Linkage to & effective use of peer-reviewed literature (journal articles) via annotated bibliography.
- Quality & presence of required components including journal article title pages, annotated bibliography, etc.
- ➤ Indication of planning, preparation & equitable delegation of tasks.
- > Effectiveness of presenting proposal ideas.
- Quality of writing, spelling, grammar, paragraph & sentence structure.

Research Project (40%): Research project and presentation incorporating Kite Aerial Photography (KAP) based surface creation and interpretation. Work in groups of 2-3 (depending on class size). Each group will prepare a research paper (25%, 4000 words max, 12 point font, minus abstract, references, and figures) and give a 15 min. conference-style presentation (15%), both due at the end of the semester on 4 April 2016.

Project ideas: Some suggested topics of interest include (but are not limited to):

- beach sediment volume & morphodynamic responses during a tidal cycle or following erosion
- tidal creek discharge, sediment load and geomorphic interactions
- large woody debris (LWD) influence on beach-dune systems
- investigation of coastal dunes or active bluff systems and shoreline changes (erosion and/or progradation) using aerial photography, site surveys &/or other 'dating' methods (e.g., dendrochronology)
- seasonal beach-dune morphodynamics and/or sediment budgets/volumes from long-term survey monitoring, historical aerial photography, and/or LiDAR
- implementation and effectiveness of coastal erosion control structures

<u>Conference-style presentation (15%)</u>: Each group will give a 15-20 min. oral presentation using PowerPoint, etc. to present your research context, results & discussion. Similar to a conference, talks will be moderated for time & a few minutes will be allowed for questions.

<u>Evaluation</u>: The research paper and related presentation are worth 40% of your grade and will be evaluated based on the following criteria.

- Clarity & effectiveness of the title.
- Research context (i.e., how is the study situated in the broader knowledge/research literature).
- Conciseness & focus of the purpose, objectives.
- > Clarity, rationale & feasibility of methods. Grounded in research context.
- > Overall quality and presentation of <u>results</u>. Interpretability of key findings.
- Thoughtful, comprehensive, integrated 'take home' points from discussion.
- Linkage to & effective use of <u>peer-reviewed literature (journal articles)</u>.
- Quality & presence of <u>required components</u> (e.g. clearly defined sections, maps & images, citations, references, etc.)
- Indication of planning, preparation & equitable delegation of tasks.
- Quality of Presentation, professional, conference-style, timely, quality of visuals, etc...
- Quality of writing, spelling, grammar, paragraph & sentence structure.

CLASS PARTICIPATION (5%)

Attendance & Participation: Active Participation includes taking part in classroom discussions, activities, demonstrations, and preparing assignments for class discussions. Questions for readings assigned the week before class will count towards this assessment.

Course policies and important notes:

- 1. Learning Environment: The University of Victoria is committed to promoting, providing and protecting a positive and safe learning and working environment for all its members. To support this environment please be cordial in attending class and respect others opinions.
- **2. Lateness policy:** A deduction of <u>25% of the total mark per day</u> will be applied to late submissions. Concessions will be made only for extenuating circumstances with <u>proper medical or counselling documentation</u> provided.
- **3.** Academic Integrity: Students should review the UVic Policy on Academic Integrity (http://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/UnIn/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations such as plagiarism, multiple submissions, falsifying materials, etc. https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/UnIn/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations such as plagiarism, multiple submissions, falsifying materials, etc. https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/UnIn/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations such as plagiarism, multiple submissions, falsifying materials, etc. https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/UnIn/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations such as plagiarism, multiple submissions, falsifying materials, etc. https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/Unin/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations such as plagiarism, multiple submissions, falsifying materials, etc. https://web.uvic.ca/calendar2013/FACS/Unin/UARe/PoAcI.html), which defines key violations are a means to discourage plagiarism.
- 4. Grading scale: according to the Dept. of Geography guidelines

Passing Grades	Grade Point Value	Percentage*	Description
A+ A A-	9 8 7	90-100 85-89 80-84	Exceptional, outstanding and excellent performance earned by work which is technically superior, shows mastery of the subject matter, and in the case of an A+ offers original insight and/or goes beyond course expectations. Normally achieved by a minority of students.
B+ B B-	6 5 4	77-79 73-76 70-72	Very good, good and solid performance earned by work that indicates a good comprehension of the course material, a good command of the skills needed to work with the course material, and the student's full engagement with the course requirements and activities. A B+ represents a more complex understanding and/or application of the course material. Normally achieved by the largest number of students.
C+ C	3 2	65-69 60-64	Satisfactory, or minimally satisfactory performance earned by work that indicates an adequate comprehension of the course material and the skills needed to work with the course material and that indicates the student has met the basic requirements for completing assigned work and/or participating in class activities.
D	1	50-59	Marginal performance earned by work that indicates minimal command of the course materials and/or minimal participation in class activities that is worthy of course credit toward the degree.

^{*}The grading scale for the evaluation of course achievement at the University of Victoria is a percentage scale that translates to a 9 point GPA/letter grade system. The 9 point GPA system is the sole basis for the calculation of grade point averages and academic standing. Standardized percentage ranges have been established as the basis for the assignment of letter grades. The percentage grades are displayed on the official and administrative transcripts in order to provide fine grained course assessment which will be useful to students particularly in their application to graduate studies and for external scholarships and funding. Comparative grading information (average grade [mean] for the class), along with the number of students in the class, is displayed for each course section for which percentage grades are assigned. (Excerpt from the current UVic Calendar).